

Transgression of the index gerbe

Ulrich Bunke*

February 1, 2008

Abstract

The holonomy of an unitary line bundle with connection over some base space B is a $U(1)$ -valued function on the loop space LB . In a parallel manner, the holonomy of a gerbe with connection on B is a line bundle with connection over LB .

Given a family of graded Dirac operators on B and some additional geometric data one can define the determinant line bundle with Quillen metric and Bismut-Freed connection. According to Witten, Bismut-Freed the holonomy of this determinant bundle can be expressed in terms of an adiabatic limit of eta invariants of an associated family of Dirac operators over LB .

Recently, for a family of ungraded Dirac operators on B J. Lott constructed an index gerbe with connection. In the present paper we show, in analogy to the holonomy formula for the determinant bundle, that the holonomy of the index gerbe coincides with an adiabatic limit of determinant bundles of the associated family of Dirac operators over LB .

Contents

1	Families and loops	1
1.1	Geometric families	1
1.2	Families of Dirac operators	4
1.3	Transgression in K -theory and cohomology	4
1.4	Transgression of line bundles with connection	5

*Göttingen, bunke@uni-math.gwdg.de

1.5	Transgression of the determinant line bundle	5
1.6	Transgression of gerbes with connection	6
1.7	Transgression of the index gerbe	7
2	Transgression of gerbes	8
2.1	Gerbes with connection	8
2.2	Transgression	10
2.3	The Deligne cohomology picture	12
3	The determinant line bundle	17
3.1	Adiabatic limit of determinant line bundles	17
3.2	Adiabatic limit of the holonomy	18
4	The index gerbe	19
4.1	Construction of the index gerbe	19
4.2	An identity of curvatures	21
4.3	Holonomy. Bounding case	22
4.4	Holonomy. Trivial K^1 -case.	23
4.5	Canceling the spectral flow	26
4.6	Adiabatic limit of η -invariants	27

1 Families and loops

1.1 Geometric families

In this subsection we combine various geometric structures on a smooth fibre bundle by introducing the notion of a geometric family.

Let $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ be a smooth fibre bundle with closed fibres. The base B of this bundle may be infinite dimensional, and the fibres of π may consist of several components of different dimensions. By $T^v\pi$ we denote the vertical bundle. We assume that $T^v\pi$ is oriented and carries a spin structure. Furthermore, let $g^{T^v\pi}$ be a vertical Riemannian

metric, and let $T^h\pi$ be a horizontal distribution. Finally, let (V, h^V, ∇^V) be a hermitean vector bundle with metric connection over M .

Definition 1.1 *A geometric family over B is a collection of objects $\pi : M \rightarrow B$, spin structure and orientation of $T^v\pi$, $g^{T^v\pi}$, $T^h\pi$, and (V, h^V, ∇^V) as introduced above.*

We now describe some natural operations with geometric families. If $f : B' \rightarrow B$ is a smooth map and $\mathcal{E}$ is a geometric family over B , then we define the geometric family $f^*\mathcal{E}$ over B' as the collection of the following objects. As the smooth fibre bundle we take $f^*\pi : f^*M \rightarrow B'$. There is a natural map $F : f^*M \rightarrow M$ which is a diffeomorphism fibrewise. In particular, we have an isomorphism $dF|_{T^v f^*\pi} : T^v f^*\pi \xrightarrow{\sim} F^*T^v\pi$. Using this isomorphism we obtain the induced orientation and spin structure on $T^v f^*\pi$. We define the vertical metric $g^{T^v f^*\pi}$ such that $dF|_{T^v f^*\pi}$ becomes an isometry. The induced horizontal distribution $T^h f^*\pi$ is defined as the kernel of the composition $\text{pr}_{F^*T^v\pi} \circ dF : T f^*M \rightarrow F^*T^v\pi$, where $\text{pr}_{F^*T^v\pi} : F^*TM \rightarrow F^*T^v\pi$ is the projection along $F^*T^h\pi$. The hermitean bundle with connection over f^*M is the pull back F^*V with induced metric ∇^{F^*V} and connection h^{F^*V} .

If $\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1$ are geometric families over B_0, B_1 , then we can form the union $\mathcal{E}_0 \cup \mathcal{E}_1$ in a natural way. It is a geometric family over $B_0 \cup B_1$. In particular, if $B = B_0 = B_1$, then we can compose the projection $M_0 \cup M_1 \rightarrow B \cup B$ with the natural covering map $B \cup B \rightarrow B$. The resulting geometric family over B is the relative union $\mathcal{E}_0 \cup_B \mathcal{E}_1$. The fibre of $\mathcal{E}_0 \cup_B \mathcal{E}_1$ is the disjoint union of the fibres of $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_1$.

We can also form the product $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}_0 \times \mathcal{E}_1$, a geometric family over $B_0 \times B_1$. On the level of the hermitean bundles we take here $V := \text{pr}_{M_0}^* V_0 \otimes \text{pr}_{M_1}^* V_1$, where $\text{pr}_{M_i} : M_0 \times M_1 \rightarrow M_i$ are the natural projections. Again, if $B = B_0 = B_1$, then we can form the pull-back of $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to the diagonal embedding $B \rightarrow B \times B$. The resulting geometric family is the fibre product $\mathcal{E}_0 \times_B \mathcal{E}_1$.

The main construction for the purpose of the present paper is the loop $L\mathcal{E}$ of a geometric family $\mathcal{E}$ over B . Here $L\mathcal{E}$ is a geometric family over $LB \times \mathbb{R}_+$, where LB is the free loop space of B .

Consider the evaluation map $\tilde{e}v : LB \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times S^1 \rightarrow B$ given by $\tilde{e}v(\gamma, \epsilon, u) = \gamma(u)$. First we consider the geometric family $\tilde{e}v^*\mathcal{E}$ over $LB \times \mathbb{R}_+$. The bundle of $L\mathcal{E}$ will be the composition $L\pi : \tilde{e}v^*M \rightarrow LB \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} LB \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Thus the fibre of $L\pi$ over (γ, ϵ) is the total space of the pull-back bundle $\gamma^*M \rightarrow S^1$.

The differential $d\tilde{e}v^*\pi$ induces an isomorphism $\Phi : T^h\tilde{e}v^*\pi \xrightarrow{\sim} (\tilde{e}v^*\pi)^*(TLB \oplus T\mathbb{R}_+ \oplus TS^1)$. Then we have $T^vL\pi \cong T^v\tilde{e}v^*\pi \oplus \Phi^{-1}(\tilde{e}v^*\pi)^*TS^1$, and we define $T^hL\pi := \Phi^{-1}(TLB \oplus T\mathbb{R}_+)$. We equip TS^1 with the bounding spin structure and the orientation obtained from the identification $S^1 := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Together with the orientation and spin structure of $T^v\tilde{e}v^*\pi$ this induces an orientation and a spin structure on $T^vL\pi$. The description of S^1 above also induces a metric g^{TS^1} of volume one. We define the vertical metric of $L\mathcal{E}$ by $g^{T^v\tilde{e}v^*\pi} \oplus \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\Phi^*(\tilde{e}v^*\pi)^*g^{TS^1}$. The hermitean vector bundle with connection of $L\mathcal{E}$ is $(\tilde{E}v^*V, h^{\tilde{E}v^*V}, \nabla^{\tilde{E}v^*V})$, where $\tilde{E}v : \tilde{e}v^*M \rightarrow M$ is the natural map.

Let $I_\epsilon : LB \rightarrow LB \times \mathbb{R}_+$ be given by $I_\epsilon(\gamma) := (\gamma, \epsilon)$. Then we set $L_\epsilon\mathcal{E} := I_\epsilon^*L\mathcal{E}$.

Note that $L(\mathcal{E}_0 \cup_B \mathcal{E}_1) = L\mathcal{E}_0 \cup_{LB \times \mathbb{R}_+} L\mathcal{E}_1$.

If $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ is a smooth fibre bundle and g^M is a Riemannian metric on M , then it induces a Riemannian metric $g^{T^v\pi}$ by restriction, and it determines a horizontal distribution $T^h\pi$ as the orthogonal complement of $T^v\pi$.

On the other hand, if $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ is a smooth fibre bundle equipped with a vertical metric $g^{T^v\pi}$ and a horizontal distribution $T^h\pi$, then any Riemannian metric g^B on B gives rise to a family of Riemannian metrics $g_\epsilon^M := g^{T^v\pi} \oplus \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\pi^*g^B$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The definition of the fibre wise metric of the loop of a geometric family is a special case of this construction. The limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ is called the adiabatic limit. In the present paper we will meet adiabatic limits of various geometric (e.g. curvature) and spectral geometric (e.g. η -invariant) quantities associated to the Riemannian manifold (M, g_ϵ^M) .

Given $\pi : M \rightarrow B$, $g^{T^v\pi}$ and $T^h\pi$, we construct a connection $\nabla^{T^v\pi}$ as follows. We choose any Riemannian metric g^B and let $\nabla^{T^v\pi}$ be the projection of the Levi-Civita connection of g_1^M to $T^v\pi$. The connection $\nabla^{T^v\pi}$ is independent of the choice of g^B (comp. [2], Proposition 10.2)

1.2 Families of Dirac operators

Given a geometric family $\mathcal{E}$ over B we have a family of twisted Dirac operators $D(\mathcal{E}) := (D_b(\mathcal{E}))_{b \in B}$. By $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ we denote the infinite dimensional bundle over B the fibre over $b \in B$ of which is the space of sections $C^\infty(M_b, S(M_b) \otimes V_{|M_b})$, where $M_b := \pi^{-1}(b)$ and $S(M_b)$ is the spinor bundle of M_b . We can consider $D(\mathcal{E})$ as a section of $\text{End}(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}))$. The bundle $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ carries a hermitean scalar product and a natural hermitean connection $\nabla^{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}$ (see [2], Proposition 9.13). Let $T \in C^\infty(M, \text{Hom}(\Lambda^2 T^h \pi, T^v \pi))$ be the curvature tensor (it is the negative of the tensor Ω defined in [2], p.321) of the horizontal distribution. We form $c(T) \in \Omega^2(B, \text{End}(\Gamma(\mathcal{E})))$ such that $c(T)(X, Y)$ is given by Clifford multiplication by $T(X^h, Y^h)$, where X^h, Y^h denote the horizontal lifts of X, Y .

So a geometric bundle gives rise to the scaled Bismut super connection (see [2], Proposition 10.15)

$$A_s(\mathcal{E}) := sD + \nabla^{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} + \frac{1}{4s}c(T)$$

if the fibres of π are even dimensional. If they are odd dimensional, then we set

$$A_s(\mathcal{E}) := s\sigma D + \nabla^{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} + \frac{\sigma}{4s}c(T) ,$$

where σ is an additional odd variable satisfying $\sigma^2 = 1$.

If the base is finite-dimensional, TB is oriented and has a spin structure, and g^B is a Riemannian metric on the base, then $TM = T^v \pi \oplus \pi^* TB$ has an induced orientation and spin structure. By D_ϵ we denote the V -twisted Dirac operator on M associated to the Riemannian metric g_ϵ^M introduced in Subsection 1.1.

1.3 Transgression in K -theory and cohomology

Given a geometric family $\mathcal{E}$ over a base B there is a close relation between the index $\text{index}(\mathcal{E}) \in K^*(B)$ of the family $D(\mathcal{E})$ and $\text{index}(L_1 \mathcal{E}) \in K^{*+1}(LB)$ of the family $D(L_1 \mathcal{E})$.

The choice of the spin structure of TS^1 provides a K -orientation of the fibres of $\text{pr} : LB \times S^1 \rightarrow LB$ and therefore a map $\text{pr}_! : K^*(LB \times S^1) \rightarrow K^{*-1}(LB)$. We define the

transgression map $T : K^*(B) \rightarrow K^{*-1}(LB)$ by $T := \text{pr}_! \circ ev^*$. Then we have

$$\text{index}(L_1\mathcal{E}) = T(\text{index}(\mathcal{E})) . \quad (1)$$

In [9] this result is attributed to Wu [13]. The orientation of S^1 induces an integration-over-the-fibre $\text{pr}_! : H^*(LB \times S^1, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{*-1}(B, \mathbb{R})$ and therefore a transgression map in cohomology $T := \pi_! \circ ev^* : H^*(LB \times S^1, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{*-1}(LB, \mathbb{R})$. Transgression is compatible with the Chern character, i.e. $T \circ \mathbf{ch} = \mathbf{ch} \circ T$. In particular,

$$T \circ \mathbf{ch}(\text{index}(\mathcal{E})) = \mathbf{ch}(\text{index}(L_1\mathcal{E})) .$$

The goal of the present paper is a refinement of this equality replacing the Chern classes by their Deligne cohomology valued refinements due to Cheeger-Simons [7]. To be honest, the corresponding equality for the component in first Deligne cohomology is known for a while, and it is equivalent to the holonomy formula for the determinant line bundle (Theorem 1.2). In the present paper we consider the degree two component.

1.4 Transgression of line bundles with connection

Let $\text{Line}(B)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of hermitean line bundles with connection over B . Note that the tensor product induces a group structure on $\text{Line}(B)$. The inverse is given by the dual bundle.

We define a transgression $T : \text{Line}(B) \rightarrow C^\infty(LB, U(1))$ as follows. Given $\mathcal{L} := (L, h^L, \nabla^L)$ and $\gamma \in L(B)$, then let $\text{hol}_\gamma(\mathcal{L})$ be the holonomy of $\mathcal{L}$ along the loop γ . We define $T(\mathcal{L})(\gamma) := \text{hol}_\gamma(\mathcal{L})$.

The transgression is a group homomorphism. Note that $\mathcal{L}$ is completely determined by $T(\mathcal{L})$. Furthermore, $T(\mathcal{L})$ is invariant under the natural action of $\mathcal{D}iff(S^1)$ on LB .

1.5 Transgression of the determinant line bundle

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a geometric family over B with even dimensional fibres. Then we have a determinant bundle of $D(\mathcal{E})$ with hermitean metric (Quillen metric) and hermitean connection

(Bismut-Freed connection) which we denote by $\det(\mathcal{E}) \in \text{Line}(B)$ (see [4], or [2], Ch. 9.7)

If D is a twisted Dirac operator on a closed oriented odd-dimensional Riemannian spin manifold, then following Dai/Freed [8], we define

$$\tau(D) := \exp(2\pi i \frac{\eta(D) + \dim \ker D}{2}) ,$$

where $\eta(D) := \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} \int_0^\infty \text{Tr} D e^{-uD^2} \frac{du}{u^{1/2}}$ is the η -invariant of D first introduced by Atiyah-Patodi-Singer [1]. Applying this to the family $D(L_\epsilon \mathcal{E})$ we obtain a function $\tau(D(L_\epsilon \mathcal{E})) \in C^\infty(LB, U(1))$. The adiabatic limit $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau(D(L_\epsilon \mathcal{E}))$ exists locally uniformly. The main result of Bismut-Freed [4], [5] (see also [8] for a different proof) is

Theorem 1.2

$$T \det(\mathcal{E}) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau(D(L_\epsilon \mathcal{E}))$$

1.6 Transgression of gerbes with connection

Let $\text{Gerbe}(B)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of gerbes with connection over B (see Subsection 2.1 for a definition). There is a product of gerbes making $\text{Gerbe}(B)$ into an abelian group.

In Subsection 2.2 we will define a transgression map $T : \text{Gerbe}(B) \rightarrow \text{Line}(LB)$.

There is a characteristic class $v : \text{Gerbe}(B) \rightarrow H^3(B, \mathbb{Z})$ classifying isomorphism classes of gerbes (forgetting the connection).

Lemma 1.3 *If $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Gerbe}(B)$, then $-T(v(\mathcal{G})) = c_1(T(\mathcal{G}))$.*

Here $c_1(T(\mathcal{G})) \in H^2(LB, \mathbb{Z})$ is the first Chern class of the line bundle $T(\mathcal{G})$, and the map T on the right hand side is the transfer in integral cohomology $T : H^*(B, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{*-1}(LB, \mathbb{Z})$. Modulo torsion Lemma 1.3 follows from the Lemma 1.4 below.

If $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Gerbe}(B)$, then there is a well defined notion of the curvature $R^{\mathcal{G}} \in \Omega^3(B)$. This form is closed and represents the image of $v(\mathcal{G})$ in cohomology with real coefficients.

Note that we can define a transfer map $T : \Omega^*(B) \rightarrow \Omega^{*-1}(LB)$ inducing the transfer in real cohomology (via the de Rham isomorphism). If $\omega \in \Omega^*(B)$, then we define

$$T\omega := \int_{S^1} i_{\partial t} ev^*(\omega) dt .$$

Lemma 1.4 *We have $2\pi i T(R^{\mathcal{G}}) = R^{T(\mathcal{G})}$.*

On the right hand side of this equality $R^{\mathcal{L}}$ denotes the curvature of the hermitean line bundle with connection $\mathcal{L}$. We prove this Lemma at the end of Subsection 2.3.

1.7 Transgression of the index gerbe

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a geometric family over B with odd dimensional fibres. Then we have an index gerbe (which was introduced by Lott [11]) $\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}) \in \text{Gerbe}(B)$ (we recall the construction in Subsection 4.1).

In order to state the main result about the transgression of the index gerbe we must define the adiabatic limit of the determinant line bundle $\det(L_{\epsilon}\mathcal{E})$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. For $\gamma \in LB$ and $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ let $p(\gamma, \epsilon) : [\epsilon, 1] \rightarrow LB \times \mathbb{R}_+$ be the path $p(\gamma, \epsilon)(t) = (\gamma, t)$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is any line bundle with connection over some base and σ is a path in the base defined on $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, then by $\|_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{L}} \in \text{End}(L_{\sigma(a)}, L_{\sigma(b)})$ we denote the parallel transport along σ . The family of maps $\|_{p(\gamma, \epsilon)}^{\det(L_{\epsilon}\mathcal{E})}$, $\gamma \in LB$, provides an unitary isomorphism of line bundles $\Phi_{\epsilon} : \det(L_{\epsilon}\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \det(L_1\mathcal{E})$. It is not compatible with the connections. We define a family of connections $\nabla^{\epsilon} := \Phi_{\epsilon} \circ \nabla^{\det(L_{\epsilon}\mathcal{E})} \circ \Phi_{\epsilon}^{-1}$ on $\det(L_1\mathcal{E})$.

Lemma 1.5 *The limit $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \nabla^{\epsilon} =: \nabla^0$ exists.*

We prove this Lemma in Subsection 3.1.

We define $\det(L_0\mathcal{E}) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \det(L_\epsilon\mathcal{E}) := (\det(L_1\mathcal{E}), h^{\det(L_1\mathcal{E})}, \nabla^0)$.

We can now state the main result of the present paper.

Theorem 1.6 *We have $T_{\text{gerbe}}(\mathcal{E}) = \det(L_0\mathcal{E})$.*

Since a line bundle with connection is determined by its transgression the theorem immediately follows from

Proposition 1.7 *We have $T^2_{\text{gerbe}}(\mathcal{E}) = T \det(L_0\mathcal{E})$.*

As a first approximation in Subsection 4.2 we show the identity of curvatures

Lemma 1.8 $R^{T_{\text{gerbe}}(\mathcal{E})} = R^{\det(L_0\mathcal{E})}$.

The proof of Proposition 1.7 follows from the study of adiabatic limits of η -invariants and constitutes the main innovation of the present paper.

2 Transgression of gerbes

2.1 Gerbes with connection

We describe gerbes with connection on a smooth manifold B in the Čech picture (see Hitchin [10] and Lott [11]).

Let $\mathcal{U} := (U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ be an open covering of B . A gerbe G on B is given by the following data $G = ((L_{\alpha\beta}), (\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}))$:

1. A hermitean line bundle $L_{\alpha\beta}$ on each nonempty intersection $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ such that $L_{\beta\alpha} \cong L_{\alpha\beta}^*$.

2. A nowhere vanishing section $\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ of $L_{\alpha\beta} \otimes L_{\beta\gamma} \otimes L_{\gamma\alpha}$ over each nonempty triple intersection $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma$ such that $\theta_{\beta\gamma\delta} \theta_{\alpha\gamma\delta}^{-1} \theta_{\alpha\beta\delta} \theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{-1} = 1$ over each nonempty intersection $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma \cap U_\delta$ (note that this product is a section of a bundle which is canonically trivial).

If $\mathcal{V} = (V_\mu)_{\mu \in J}$, $s : J \rightarrow I$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{U}$, then the same gerbe G is defined by the data $L'_{\mu\nu} := (L_{s(\mu)s(\nu)})|_{V_\mu \cap V_\nu}$ and $\theta'_{\mu\nu\sigma} := (\theta_{s(\mu)s(\nu)s(\sigma)})|_{V_\mu \cap V_\nu \cap V_\sigma}$.

Two sets of data $G = ((L_{\alpha\beta}), (\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}))$ and $G' = ((L'_{\alpha\beta}), (\theta'_{\alpha\beta\gamma}))$ describe isomorphic gerbes if there is a family of hermitean line bundles L_α over U_α , $\alpha \in I$ and isomorphisms $L'_{\alpha\beta} \cong L_\alpha^{-1} \otimes L_{\alpha\beta} \otimes L_\beta$ such that $\theta'_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ corresponds to $\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ under the induced isomorphism $L'_{\alpha\beta} \otimes L'_{\beta\gamma} \otimes L'_{\gamma\alpha} = L_{\alpha\beta} \otimes L_{\beta\gamma} \otimes L_{\gamma\alpha}$. In general, if two gerbes G, G' are defined with respect to coverings $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}'$, then they are isomorphic if they are so when defined on a suitable common refinement.

A connection of a gerbe $G = ((L_{\alpha\beta}), (\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}))$ defined with respect to $\mathcal{U}$ consists of the following data $((\nabla^{L_{\alpha\beta}}), (F_\alpha))$:

1. $\nabla^{L_{\alpha\beta}}$ is a hermitean connection on $L_{\alpha\beta}$ such that $\nabla^{L_{\beta\alpha}} = (\nabla^{L_{\alpha\beta}})^*$ and such that $\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is parallel with respect to the induced connection on $L_{\alpha\beta} \otimes L_{\beta\gamma} \otimes L_{\gamma\alpha}$.
2. $F_\alpha \in \Omega^2(B)$ satisfies $F_\beta - F_\alpha = c_1(\nabla^{L_{\alpha\beta}})$ over $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, where $c_1(\nabla) := \frac{-1}{2\pi i} R^\nabla$ is the first Chern form.

If $\mathcal{V} = (V_\mu)_{\mu \in J}$, $s : J \rightarrow I$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{U}$, then $\nabla^{L'_{\mu\nu}} := \nabla_{|V_\mu \cap V_\nu}^{L_{s(\mu)s(\nu)}}$ and $F'_\mu := (F_{s(\mu)})|_{V_\mu}$ define the same connection on G . Two gerbes with connection $\mathcal{G} = ((L_{\alpha\beta}), (\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}), (\nabla^{L_{\alpha\beta}}), (F_\alpha))$ and $\mathcal{G}' = ((L'_{\alpha\beta}), (\theta'_{\alpha\beta\gamma}), (\nabla^{L'_{\alpha\beta}}), (F'_\alpha))$ are isomorphic, if there is family of hermitean line bundles with connection $(L_\alpha, \nabla^{L_\alpha})$ over U_α , $\alpha \in I$, such that $\nabla^{L'_{\alpha\beta}}$ corresponds to the induced connection $\nabla^{L_\alpha^{-1} \otimes L_{\alpha\beta} \otimes L_\beta}$ and $F'_\alpha = F_\alpha + c_1(\nabla^{L_\alpha})$. In general, if two gerbes with connection $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}'$ are defined with respect to coverings $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}'$, then they are isomorphic if they are so when defined on a suitable common refinement.

Let $\mathcal{G} = ((L_{\alpha\beta}), (\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}), (\nabla^{L_{\alpha\beta}}), (F_\alpha))$ be a gerbe with connection. Then $dF_\alpha = dF_\beta$ over $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. Therefore, there is a form $R^\mathcal{G} \in \Omega^3(B)$ which restricts to dF_α over U_α . This

form is called the curvature of $\mathcal{G}$. The cohomology class $[R^{\mathcal{G}}] \in H_{dR}^3(B)$ is the de Rham cohomology class corresponding to $v(\mathcal{G}) \in H^3(B, \mathbb{Z})$. In particular, it is independent of the connection.

Let $\text{Gerbe}(B)$ be the set of all isomorphism classes of gerbes with connection on B . We define a product on $\text{Gerbe}(B)$ as follows. If $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}' \in \text{Gerbe}(B)$ are defined with respect to a covering $\mathcal{U}$, then $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G}'$ is given by the data $L_{\alpha\beta} \otimes L'_{\alpha\beta}$, $\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \otimes \theta'_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, the induced connections $\nabla^{L_{\alpha\beta} \otimes L'_{\alpha\beta}}$ and $F_{\alpha} + F'_{\alpha}$. The trivial element is the gerbe where $L_{\alpha\beta}$ is the trivial bundle, $\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \equiv 1$, $\nabla^{L_{\alpha\beta}}$ is the trivial connection, and $F_{\alpha} = 0$. The inverse of $\mathcal{G}$ is given by $L_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}$, $\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{-1}$, the induced connection $\nabla^{L_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}}$, and $-F_{\alpha}$.

If $f : B' \rightarrow B$ is a smooth map, then it induces a group homomorphism $f^* : \text{Gerbe}(B) \rightarrow \text{Gerbe}(B')$. If $\mathcal{G} = ((L_{\alpha\beta}), (\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}), (\nabla^{L_{\alpha\beta}}), (F_{\alpha})) \in \text{Gerbe}(B)$ is defined with respect to a covering $\mathcal{U}$, then $f^*\mathcal{G} := ((f^*L_{\alpha\beta}), (f^*\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}), (\nabla^{f^*L_{\alpha\beta}}), (f^*F_{\alpha}))$ is defined with respect to $f^*\mathcal{U} = (f^{-1}U_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$.

2.2 Transgression

In this subsection we describe the transgression map $T : \text{Gerbe}(B) \rightarrow \text{Line}(LB)$.

Let $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Gerbe}(B)$ be defined with respect to $\mathcal{U} = (U_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$. We consider on $\mathbb{Z}_n := \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and S^1 a cyclic ordering. Let $t : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow S^1$ be a monotone map and $s : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow I$ be any map. Then we consider the open set $V(t, s) \subset LB$ consisting of all loops γ such that $\gamma([t(i), t(i+1)]) \in U_{s(i-1)} \cap U_{s(i)}$. The family $\mathcal{V} := (V(t, s))_{(n \in \mathbb{N}, t: \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow S^1, s: \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow I)}$ forms an open covering of LB . We define $T\mathcal{G}$ by describing the restrictions $T\mathcal{G}|_{V(t, s)}$ and the transition maps.

We consider the map $E(t, s) : V(t, s) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} U_{s(i-1)} \cap U_{s(i)}$ given by $E(t, s)(\gamma) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \gamma(t(i))$. Let $p_j : \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} U_{s(i-1)} \cap U_{s(i)} \rightarrow U_{s(j-1)} \cap U_{s(j)}$ be the canonical projection. We define the hermitean line bundle

$$T\mathcal{G}|_{V(t, s)} := E(t, s)^* \left(\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} p_i^* L_{s(i-1)s(i)} \right).$$

The transition maps are generated by two types. Assume first that (t', s') is a refinement of (t, s) . Then there is a monotone map $r : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$, $m \geq n$ such that $t = t' \circ r$ and $s'(i) = s(j)$ for all $i \in [r(j), r(j+1))$. In this case $V(t, s) \subset V(t', s')$. Using the fact that $L_{\alpha\alpha}$ is the trivial bundle we obtain an isomorphism

$$E(t', s')^* \left(\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m} p_i^* L_{s'(i-1)s'(i)} \right) \Big|_{V(t,s)} \xrightarrow{\sim} E(t, s)^* \left(\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} p_i^* L_{s(i-1)s(i)} \right).$$

The other type of transition map is related to the change of the indexing map s . We fix $t : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow S^1$ and consider two maps $s, s' : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow I$ such that $V(t, s) \cap V(t, s') \neq \emptyset$. Let $\gamma \in V(t, s) \cap V(t, s')$. We want to construct an isomorphism of fibres

$$E(t, s)^* \left(\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} p_i^* L_{s(i-1)s(i)} \right) (\gamma) \cong E(t, s')^* \left(\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} p_i^* L_{s'(i-1)s'(i)} \right) (\gamma).$$

Using the family of sections $(\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma})$ we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} & E(t, s)^* \left(\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} p_i^* L_{s(i-1)s(i)} \right) (\gamma) \\ &= \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} L_{s(i-1)s(i)}(\gamma(t(i))) \\ &= \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} L_{s(i-1)s(i)}(\gamma(t(i))) \\ &\quad \otimes \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} L_{s(i-1)s'(i-1)}(\gamma(t(i))) \otimes L_{s(i)s(i-1)}(\gamma(t(i))) \otimes L_{s'(i-1)s(i)}(\gamma(t(i))) \\ &\quad \otimes \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} L_{s'(i)s(i)}(\gamma(t(i))) \otimes L_{s'(i-1)s'(i)}(\gamma(t(i))) \otimes L_{s(i)s'(i-1)}(\gamma(t(i))) \\ &= \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} L_{s'(i-1)s'(i)}(\gamma(t(i))) \otimes \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \text{Hom}(L_{s(i)s'(i)}(\gamma(t(i))), L_{s(i)s'(i)}(\gamma(t(i+1)))) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\gamma_i : [t(i), t(i+1)] \rightarrow U_{s(i)} \cap U_{s'(i)}$ be the path obtained by restriction of γ . Then we have the element $\|_{\gamma_i}^{L_{s(i)s'(i)}} \in \text{Hom}(L_{s(i)s'(i)}(\gamma(t(i))), L_{s(i)s'(i)}(\gamma(t(i+1))))$. Therefore, $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \|_{\gamma_i}^{L_{s(i)s'(i)}}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} L_{s'(i-1)s'(i)}(\gamma(t(i))) \otimes \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \text{Hom}(L_{s(i)s'(i)}(\gamma(t(i))), L_{s(i)s'(i)}(\gamma(t(i+1)))) \\ &\cong \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} L_{s'(i-1)s'(i)}(\gamma(t(i))). \end{aligned}$$

If we vary $\gamma \in V(t, s) \cap V(t, s')$, then we obtain an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi((t, s'), (t, s)) : E(t, s)^* \left(\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} p_i^* L_{s(i-1)s(i)} \right)_{|V(t,s) \cap V(t,s')} \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} E(t, s')^* \left(\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} p_i^* L_{s'(i-1)s'(i)} \right)_{|V(t,s) \cap V(t,s')} . \end{aligned}$$

We now describe the connection on the hermitean line bundle constructed above. Note that the restrictions $T\mathcal{G}|_{V(t,s)}$ come with induced hermitean connections. However, these connections are not compatible with the transition maps $\Phi((t, s'), (t, s))$. The point is that $\gamma \mapsto \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \|\gamma_i\|_{\gamma_i}^{L_{s(i)s'(i)}}$ is not parallel. We will fix this problem by introducing a correction using the forms F_α . For our purpose it turns out to be useful to describe the parallel transport of the connection $\nabla^{T\mathcal{G}}$. Let $\Gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow V(t, s)$ be a path. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ we define the path $\Gamma_i : [a, b] \rightarrow U_{s(i-1)} \cap U_{s(i)}$ by $\Gamma_i(x) = \Gamma(x)(t(i))$. Then we define

$$\|_\Gamma^{T\mathcal{G}} := \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \|\Gamma_i\|_{\Gamma_i}^{L_{s(i-1)s(i)}} \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \exp \left(2\pi i \int_{[a,b] \times [t(i), t(i+1)]} \Gamma^* F_{s(i)} \right) .$$

We leave it as an exercise to the interested reader to check that the transgression is well defined. In fact, in Subsection 2.3 we will show that this construction coincides with the explicite description of the transgression defined in the Deligne cohomology picture. This also implies well-definedness (see Corollary 2.3).

If $f : B' \rightarrow B$ is a smooth map, then it induces a map $Lf : LB' \rightarrow LB$. One can check that transgression is compatible with pull-back, i.e.

$$T \circ f^* = Lf^* \circ T , \tag{2}$$

where $Lf^* : \text{Line}(LB) \rightarrow \text{Line}(LB')$ is the pull-back of hermitean line bundles with connection.

2.3 The Deligne cohomology picture

If (R^*, d) is a complex of sheaves on a space B , then under certain conditions we can compute its hypercohomology using Čech cohomology. If $\mathcal{U}$ is a covering of B , then we

form the double complex $C^{p,q} := C_{\mathcal{U}}^p(R^q)$, $d_0 := \delta$, $d_1 := d$, where $C_{\mathcal{U}}^p(R^q)$ is the space of Čech p -cochains of R^q and δ is the differential of the Čech complex. Its action on a Čech p -cochain X is given by

$$\delta X_{i_0 \dots i_{p+1}} := \sum_{j=0}^{p+1} (-1)^j X_{i_0 \dots \check{i}_j \dots i_{p+1}}$$

in the usual notation. We write this down in order to fix our sign conventions. The hypercohomology of the complex (R^*, d) is approximated (one has to go to the limit over all coverings) by the total complex (C_{tot}^*, d_{tot}) associated to the double complex above. In order to fix signs, $d_{tot}c^{p,q} := \delta c^{p,q} + (-1)^p d c^{p,q}$ for $c^{p,q} \in C^{p,q}$.

Let $\mathcal{K}_B^p$ be the complex of sheaves on a manifold B

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{U(1)} \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{2\pi i} d \log} \Omega^1 \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^p \rightarrow 0 ,$$

where $\underline{U(1)}$ denotes the sheaf of functions with values in $U(1)$, and Ω^q is the sheaf of real q -forms. By $H^k(B, \mathcal{K}_B^p)$ we denote its k 'th hypercohomology. There is an integration over the fibre

$$\int_{S^1} H^k(B \times S^1, \mathcal{K}_{B \times S^1}^p) \rightarrow H^{k-1}(B, \mathcal{K}_B^{p-1})$$

which was introduced by Gawedzki and is described in the Čech picture in Brylinski's book [6], Proposition 6.5.2. We can now define the transgression

$$T : H^k(B, \mathcal{K}_B^p) \rightarrow H^{k-1}(LB, \mathcal{K}_{LB}^{p-1})$$

as the composition $T := \int_{S^1} \circ ev^*$.

There are natural isomorphisms $u_B : C^\infty(B, U(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\mathcal{K}_B^0)$, $l_B : \text{Line}(B) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(B, \mathcal{K}_B^1)$ and $g_B : \text{Gerbe}(B) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(B, \mathcal{K}_B^2)$. We will show that the transgression in Deligne cohomology is compatible with the transgression defined on the level of geometric objects.

First we describe the maps u_B, l_B, g_B explicitly. A function $f \in C^\infty(B, U(1))$ represents a cohomology class $u_B(f) \in H^0(B, \mathcal{K}_B^0) = H^0(B, \underline{U(1)})$ in a natural way.

Let $\mathcal{L} = (L, h^L, \nabla^L) \in \text{Line}(B)$. We choose a covering $\mathcal{U} := (U_\alpha)_\alpha \in I$ such that $L|_{U_\alpha}$ is trivial. We fix sections $s_\alpha \in C^\infty(U_\alpha, L)$ of unit length for all $\alpha \in I$. Then we define

$A_\alpha := \nabla^L \log s_\alpha$, $A_\alpha \in i\Omega^1(U_\alpha)$. Let $U_{\alpha\beta} \in C^\infty(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta, U(1))$ be the transition function $U_{\alpha\beta} := \frac{s_\alpha}{s_\beta}$. Then $((U_{\alpha\beta}), (\frac{-1}{2\pi i} A_\alpha))$ is a Čech cocycle representing the hypercohomology class $l_B(\mathcal{L})$. Note that over $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ we have $A_\alpha - A_\beta = d \log U_{\alpha\beta}$.

Let $\mathcal{G} = ((L_{\alpha\beta}), (\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}), (\nabla^{L_{\alpha\beta}}), (F_\alpha))$ be a gerbe with connection on B defined with respect to $\mathcal{U}$. We assume that $L_{\alpha\beta}$ is trivial, and we fix unit length sections $s_{\alpha\beta}$. Then we define $A_{\alpha\beta} := \nabla^{L_{\alpha\beta}} \log s_{\alpha\beta}$, $A_{\alpha\beta} \in i\Omega^1(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$. Furthermore, we can identify the section $\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ with a function $\frac{\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}}{s_{\alpha\beta}s_{\beta\gamma}s_{\gamma\alpha}} \in C^\infty(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma, U(1))$. Then $((\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}), (\frac{1}{2\pi i} A_{\alpha\beta}), (-F_\alpha))$ is a Čech cocycle representing the hyper cohomology class $g_B(\mathcal{G})$.

Lemma 2.1 $T \circ l_B = u_{LB} \circ T$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L} = (L, h^L, \nabla^L) \in \text{Line}(B)$. Then $u_{LB} \circ T(\mathcal{L})$ is just the $U(1)$ -valued function which maps $\gamma \in LB$ to the holonomy $\text{hol}_\gamma(\mathcal{L})$ of $\mathcal{L}$ along γ . Let $l_B(\mathcal{L})$ be represented by the Čech cocycle $((U_{\alpha\beta}), (\frac{-1}{2\pi i} A_\alpha))$ as above. We consider $\gamma \in LB$. We choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a monotone map $t : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow S^1$ and $s : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow I$ such that $\gamma([t(i), t(i+1)]) \subset U_{s(i)}$. We choose small neighbourhoods W_i of $[t(i), t(i+1)]$ such that $\gamma(\bar{W}_i) \subset U_{s(i)}$. We define the neighbourhood $\tilde{V}$ of γ to be the space of all $\gamma' \in LB$ satisfying $\gamma'(\bar{W}_i) \subset U_{s(i)}$. Then $ev(\tilde{V} \times W_i) \subset U_{s(i)}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. The restriction of $ev^* l_B(\mathcal{L})$ is represented by $((u_{ij}), (\frac{-1}{2\pi i} a_i))$ where u_{ij} is defined if $j = i \pm 1$ by $u_{(i+1)i}(\gamma, x) = U_{s(i+1)s(i)}(\gamma(x))$, $x \in W_i \cap W_{i+1}$, and $a_i := ev^* A_{s(i)} \in \Omega^1(\tilde{V} \times W_i)$. The description of $\int_{S^1}$ given in [6], Equation (6.21), yields

$$T \circ l_B(\mathcal{L})(\gamma) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \exp \left(- \int_{[t(i), t(i+1)]} i_{\partial t} a_i dt \right) \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_n} u_{j,j+1}(\gamma, t(i+1))^{-1}.$$

The right hand side can be rewritten as

$$\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \exp \left(- \int_{[t(i), t(i+1)]} i_{\partial \gamma_t} A_{s(i)} dt \right) \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_n} U_{s(j+1)s(j)}(\gamma(t(i+1))) = \text{hol}_\gamma(\mathcal{L}).$$

□

Lemma 2.2 $T \circ g_B = l_{LB} \circ T$

Proof. It suffices to show that $T^2 \circ g_B = T \circ l_{LB} \circ T$ holds true in $H^0(L^2B, \mathcal{K}_{L^2B}^0) \cong C^\infty(L^2B, U(1))$. Let $\mathcal{G} = ((L_{\alpha\beta}), (\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}), (\nabla^{L_{\alpha\beta}}), (F_\alpha))$ be a gerbe with connection on B defined with respect to $\mathcal{U}$. In Subsection 2.2 we described the parallel transport of $T\mathcal{G}$. Let $\Gamma \in L^2B$. Then we choose a monotone map $u : \mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow S^1$ such that there is a fixed monotone function $t : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow S^1$ and a family $s_i : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow I$ such that $\Gamma([u(i), u(i+1)]) \subset V(t, s_i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. We define $\gamma_{ij} : [u(i), u(i+1)] \rightarrow B$ by $\gamma_{ij}(x) = \Gamma(x, t(j))$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hol}_\Gamma(T\mathcal{G}) &= \circ_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \parallel_{\Gamma|_{[u(i), u(i+1)]}}^{T\mathcal{G}} \\ &= \circ_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \left(\Phi((t, s_{i+1}), (t, s_i)) \circ \bigotimes_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \parallel_{\gamma_{ij}}^{L_{s_i(j-1)s_i(j)}} \right) \\ &\quad \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \exp \left(2\pi i \int_{[u(i), u(i+1)] \times [t(j), t(j+1)]} \Gamma^* F_{s_i(j)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Writing out the first factor and the transition maps Φ with respect to the given trivializations of the $L_{\alpha\beta}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hol}_\Gamma(T\mathcal{G}) &= \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \theta_{s_i(j-1)s_{i+1}(j-1)s_i(j)}(\Gamma(u(i+1), t(j))) \theta_{s_i(j)s_{i+1}(j-1)s_{i+1}(j)}(\Gamma(u(i+1), t(j))) \\ &\quad \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \exp \left(- \int_{[t(j), t(j+1)]} \Gamma(u(i+1))^* A_{s_i(j)s_{i+1}(j)} \right) \\ &\quad \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \exp \left(- \int_{[u(i), u(i+1)]} \Gamma(., t(j))^* A_{s_i(j-1)s_i(j)} \right) \\ &\quad \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \exp \left(2\pi i \int_{[u(i), u(i+1)] \times [t(j), t(j-1)]} \Gamma^* F_{s_i(j)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We now describe $T \circ g_B(\mathcal{G})$. We choose neighbourhoods W_j of $[t(j), t(j+1)]$ and R_i of $[u(i), u(i+1)]$ such that $\Gamma(\bar{R}_i \times \bar{W}_j) \subset U_{s_i(j-1)} \cap U_{s_i(j)}$. Let $\tilde{V}_i \subset LB$ be the set of all γ such that $\gamma(\bar{W}_j) \subset U_{s_i(j-1)} \cap U_{s_i(j)}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_m$. We now describe the restriction of $T \circ g_B(\mathcal{G})$ to $\cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \tilde{V}_i$. The restriction of $ev^*g_B(\mathcal{G})$ to $\cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, j \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \tilde{V}_i \times W_j$ is given by

$$((ev^*\theta_{s_{i_1}(j_1)s_{i_2}(j_2)s_{i_3}(j_3)}), (\frac{1}{2\pi i} ev^*A_{s_{i_1}(j_1)s_{i_2}(j_2)}), (-ev^*F_{s_i(j)})) .$$

Applying $\int_{S^1}$ we obtain a cocycle $((X_{i_1 i_2}), (Y_i))$ representing the restriction of $T \circ g_B(\mathcal{G})$, where

$$X_{i_1 i_2}(\gamma) = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \theta_{s_{i_1}(j-1)s_{i_1}(j)s_{i_2}(j)}(\gamma(t(j))) \theta_{s_{i_1}(j-1)s_{i_2}(j-1)s_{i_2}(j)}(\gamma(t(j)))^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_i &= - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \int_{[t(j), t(j+1)]} i_{\partial_t} ev^* F_{s_i(j)} dt \\
&\quad - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_m} ev^*_{[\cdot, \times \{t(j+1)\}]} A_{s_i(j)s_i(j+1)} \cdot
\end{aligned}$$

It now suffices to compute $ev^*_{\{\Gamma\} \times S^1}((X_{i_1 i_2}), (Y_i))$ with respect to the covering $(\{\Gamma\} \times \tilde{V}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n}$ and apply $\int_{S^1}$ again. The result is then

$$\begin{aligned}
&T^2 \circ g_B(\mathcal{G}) \\
&= \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \theta_{s_i(j-1)s_i(j)s_{i+1}(j)}(\Gamma(u(i+1), t(j)))^{-1} \theta_{s_i(j-1)s_{i+1}(j-1)s_{i+1}(j)}(\Gamma(u(i+1), t(j))) \\
&\quad \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \exp \left(- \int_{[t(j), t(j+1)]} \Gamma(u(i+1))^* A_{s_i(j)s_{i+1}(j)} \right) \\
&\quad \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \exp \left(- \int_{[u(i), u(i+1)]} \Gamma(\cdot, t(j+1))^* A_{s_i(j-1)s_i(j)} \right) \\
&\quad \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \exp \left(2\pi i \int_{[u(i), u(i+1)] \times [t(j), t(j+1)]} \Gamma^* F_{s_i(j)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Using that $\delta(\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}) = 0$ it is now easy to see that $T^2 \circ g_B(\mathcal{G})(\Gamma) = \text{hol}_\Gamma(T\mathcal{G})$. $\square$

An immediate consequence of this proof is

Corollary 2.3 *The transgression $T : \text{Gerbe}(B) \rightarrow \text{Line}(L)$ is well-defined by the construction given in Subsection 2.2.*

It now follows from [6], Proposition 6.5.1, that the transgression of gerbes with connection constructed in 2.2 is equivalent to the negative of the construction given in [6], 6.2.1, which is due to Deligne and Brylinski.

The equation [6], (6.8) for the curvature of $T\mathcal{G}$ shows

$$R^{T\mathcal{G}} = 2\pi i T R^{\mathcal{G}}.$$

This proves the assertion of Lemma 1.4. $\square$

3 The determinant line bundle

3.1 Adiabatic limit of determinant line bundles

The goal of this subsection is the proof of Lemma 1.5. We first recall the Bismut-Freed formula for the curvature of the determinant line bundle $\det(\mathcal{E})$ associated to a geometric family $\mathcal{E}$ over some base B with even dimensional fibres.

$$R^{\det(\mathcal{E})} = \left[\int_{M/B} \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla^{T^v \pi}) \mathbf{ch}(\nabla^V) \right]_{(2)}. \quad (3)$$

Here $[\cdot]_{(2)}$ stands for the two form component. For a proof of this formula we refer to [2], 10.35. Note that in the present paper the Chern character form $\mathbf{ch}$ and the $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ -genus already include the $2\pi i$ -factors (we use the topologist's convention) whereas in [2] they are not included.

Let now $\mathcal{E}$ be a geometric family with odd dimensional fibres and consider the loop $L\mathcal{E}$ over $LB \times \mathbb{R}_+$. The form $\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla^{T^v L\pi})$ depends polynomially on $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$. In particular, its limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ is $\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla^{T^v \tilde{e}v^* \pi})$ using the notation introduced in Subsection 1.1.

Recall the definition of the connection ∇^ϵ on $\det(L_1\mathcal{E})$ given in Subsection 1.7. We can express the parallel transport $\|^\nabla^\epsilon$ of this connection in terms of the parallel transport $\|_{\det(L_1\mathcal{E})}$ as follows. Let $\Gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow LB$ be any path. Then

$$\|_{\Gamma}^{\nabla^\epsilon} = \|_{\Gamma}^{\det(L_1\mathcal{E})} \exp \left(- \int_{[\epsilon, 1] \times [a, b]} \Psi^* R^{\det L\mathcal{E}} \right),$$

where $\Psi : [\epsilon, 1] \times [a, b] \rightarrow LB \times \mathbb{R}_+$ is given by $\Psi(\delta, x) = (\Gamma(x), \delta)$. We now employ (3) and perform the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We obtain

$$\|_{\Gamma}^{\nabla^0} = \|_{\Gamma}^{\det(L_1\mathcal{E})} \exp \left(- \int_{(0, 1] \times [a, b]} \Psi^* \left[\int_{ev^* M/B} \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla^{T^v L\pi}) \mathbf{ch}(\nabla^{Ev^* V}) \right]_{(2)} \right),$$

where the integral converges in view of the remark above. $\square$

3.2 Adiabatic limit of the holonomy

In Subsection 3.1 we have seen that the limit $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \nabla^\epsilon =: \nabla^0$ exists. Recall the definition $\det(L_0\mathcal{E}) = (\det(L_1\mathcal{E}), h^{\det(L_1\mathcal{E})}, \nabla^0)$. By Theorem 1.2 we know that $T\det(L_\epsilon\mathcal{E}) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \tau(D(L_\delta L_\epsilon\mathcal{E}))$ and therefore

$$T\det(L_0\mathcal{E}) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \tau(D(L_\delta L_\epsilon\mathcal{E})) .$$

Lemma 3.1 *We have $T\det(L_0\mathcal{E}) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau(D(L_\epsilon L_\epsilon\mathcal{E}))$.*

Proof. We fix $\Gamma \in L^2(B)$. Then we define the map $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow L(LB \times \mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{R}_+$ by $f(\epsilon, \delta)(t) = ((\Gamma(t), \delta), \epsilon)$. We consider the geometric family $f^*L^2\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Then we have $\tau(D(L_\epsilon L_\delta\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma) = \tau(D(f^*L^2\mathcal{E}))(\epsilon, \delta)$. The differential of the τ -function is given by [8], Thm. 1.9,

$$d\tau(D(f^*L^2\mathcal{E})) = 2\pi i \left[\int_{\text{fibre}} \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla^{T^v f^*L^2\pi}) \mathbf{ch}(\nabla^{\mathcal{W}}) \right]_{(1)} ,$$

where $\int_{\text{fibre}}$ means integration over the fibre of the family $f^*L^2\mathcal{E}$, and $\mathcal{W}$ is the induced hermitean bundle with connection of the family $f^*L^2\mathcal{E}$.

Again, $\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla^{T^v f^*L^2\pi})$ depends polynomially on ϵ, δ and is in particular uniformly bounded for small (ϵ, δ) . This justifies the change of the path of integration below. Let γ, γ' be the path's in $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ given by $\gamma(t) := (1 - 2t, 1)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, and $\gamma(t) := (0, 1 - 2(t - \frac{1}{2}))$ for $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, $\gamma'(t) := (1 - t, 1 - t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Then we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \tau(D(L_\delta L_\epsilon\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma) &= \tau(D(L_1 L_1\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma) + \int_{\gamma} d\tau(D(f^*L^2\mathcal{E})) \\ &= \tau(D(L_1 L_1\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma) + \int_{\gamma'} d\tau(D(f^*L^2\mathcal{E})) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau(D(L_\epsilon L_\epsilon\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma) . \end{aligned}$$

□

4 The index gerbe

4.1 Construction of the index gerbe

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a geometric family over some base B with odd dimensional fibres. Furthermore, let $Q \in \text{End}(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}))$ be a family of finite dimensional selfadjoint operators such that $Q_b = E_{D_b(\mathcal{E})}(-R(b), R(b))Q_b E_{D_b(\mathcal{E})}(-R(b), R(b))$, $b \in B$, for some continuous function $R \in C(B)$, where $E_{D_b(\mathcal{E})}$ denotes the spectral projection.

If $D(\mathcal{E}) + Q$ is invertible for every $b \in B$, then following [9] we call Q a Melrose-Piazza operator. It was shown by Melrose-Piazza in [12] that a Melrose-Piazza operator exists iff $\text{index}(\mathcal{E}) = 0$.

The index gerbe $\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}) \in \text{Gerbe}(B)$ was introduced by Lott [11]. Here we recall this definition and discuss a slight generalization $\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}, Q)$, which is the index gerbe of the perturbed family $D(\mathcal{E}, Q) := D(\mathcal{E}) + Q$.

Let $\mathcal{U} = (U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ be a covering of B . If we choose these sets sufficiently small then there exists functions $h_\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\alpha \in I$, such that $D_{b,\alpha} := D_b(\mathcal{E}, Q) + h_\alpha(D_b(\mathcal{E}, Q))$ is invertible for all $b \in U_\alpha$. In fact, in order to construct h_α it suffices to find a family of open intervals $I_\alpha, J_\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, $\alpha \in I$, such that $(I_\alpha \cup -J_\alpha) \cap \text{spec}(D_b(\mathcal{E}, Q)) = \emptyset$ for all $b \in U_\alpha$. Then one takes for h_α a function which is zero outside $[-J_\alpha, I_\alpha]$, and is equal to one in the region between $-J_\alpha$ and I_α .

With this definition we can now repeat Lott's definition and arguments line by line. The only difference is that [11], (2.4), holds if one replaces "lim" by "LIM". But the conclusion [11], Proposition 1., is still valid.

Let us recall the construction of $\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}, Q)$. Let $E_{\alpha\beta}^{\pm} \rightarrow U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$ denote the ± 2 -eigenspace bundle of $\frac{D_{\beta}}{|D_{\beta}|} - \frac{D_{\alpha}}{|D_{\alpha}|}$. This bundle comes with a natural hermitean metric and a connection induced by $\nabla^{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}$. We define the hermitean line bundle $L_{\alpha\beta} := \det(E_{\alpha\beta}^+) \otimes \det(E_{\alpha\beta}^-)^{-1}$, and we let $\nabla^{L_{\alpha\beta}}$ be the induced connection. Over $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \cap U_{\gamma}$ the product $L_{\alpha\beta} \otimes L_{\beta\gamma} \otimes L_{\gamma\alpha}$ is canonically trivial. This gives the sections $\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. Finally, we define the two forms $F_{\alpha} := [\hat{\eta}_{\alpha}]_{(2)}$, where

$$\hat{\eta}_{\alpha} = R \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} \int_t^{\infty} \text{Tr}_{\sigma} \left(\frac{dA_s}{ds} e^{-A_s^2} \right) ds .$$

with the super connection

$$A_s = s\sigma D_{\alpha} + \nabla^{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} + \frac{\sigma}{4s} c(T)$$

(see Subsection 1.2 for notation), and $R \in \text{End}(\Omega(B))$ multiplies p -forms by $(2\pi i)^{-p/2}$.

The index gerbe $\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}, Q)$ is now given by $((L_{\alpha\beta}), (\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}), (\nabla^{L_{\alpha\beta}}), (F_{\alpha}))$. Formally, $\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}) := \text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}, 0)$.

The curvature of $\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}, Q)$ is independent of Q and given by [11], Thm. 1,

$$R^{\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}, Q)} = \left[\int_{M/B} \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla^{T^v\pi}) \mathbf{ch}(\nabla^V) \right]_{(3)} \in \Omega^3(B) . \quad (4)$$

Note that $\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}, Q)$ may depend on Q . But its transgression does not.

Lemma 4.1 *We have $T\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}, Q) = T\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E})$.*

Proof. Since the transgression of line bundles with connections is injective it suffices to show that $T^2\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}, Q) = T^2\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E})$.

Let $\Gamma \in L^2 B$. Then $\Gamma : S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow B$. Furthermore, let $1 \in L^2(S^1 \times S^1)$ be given by the identity map. Then by (2) we can write $T^2\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}, Q)(\Gamma) = T^2\text{gerbe}(\Gamma^*\mathcal{E}, \Gamma^*Q)(1)$.

Let $\text{pr} : S^1 \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1 \times S^1$ be the projection. We define $\tilde{Q} \in \text{End}(\Gamma(\text{pr}^*\Gamma^*\mathcal{E}))$ such that $\tilde{Q}|_{S^1 \times S^1 \times \{\epsilon\}} = \epsilon\Gamma^*Q$. By (4) we have on the one hand $R^{\text{gerbe}(\text{pr}^*\Gamma^*\mathcal{E}, \tilde{Q})} = \text{pr}^*R^{\text{gerbe}(\Gamma^*\mathcal{E})}$. On the other hand, $R^{\text{gerbe}(\Gamma^*\mathcal{E})} = 0$ since $\dim(S^1 \times S^1) = 2 < 3$.

Furthermore, $H^3(S^1 \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Therefore $g_{S^1 \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}}(\text{gerbe}(\text{pr}^*\Gamma^*\mathcal{E}, \tilde{Q}))$ can be represented by a cocycle of the form $((1), (0), (-F_\alpha))$, where F_α is the restriction of a global two form which is closed since the curvature of $\text{gerbe}(\text{pr}^*\Gamma^*\mathcal{E}, \tilde{Q})$ is trivial. It is now obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} T^2\text{gerbe}(\Gamma^*\mathcal{E}, \Gamma^*Q)(1) &= \exp\left(2\pi i \int_{S^1 \times S^1 \times \{1\}} F\right) \\ &= \exp\left(2\pi i \int_{S^1 \times S^1 \times \{0\}} F\right) \\ &= T^2\text{gerbe}(\Gamma^*\mathcal{E})(1) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $T^2\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}, Q)(\Gamma) = T^2\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E})(\Gamma)$. $\square$

It follows immediately from the definitions that if $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 \cup_B \mathcal{E}_1$, then $\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}_0) \otimes \text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}_1)$.

4.2 An identity of curvatures

In this Subsection we prove Lemma 1.8. By equation (4) and Lemma 1.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^{T\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E})} &= 2\pi i T R^{\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E})} \\ &= 2\pi i \int_{LB \times S^1 / LB} ev^* \left[\int_{M/B} \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla^{T^v\pi}) \mathbf{ch}(\nabla^V) \right]_{(3)} \\ &= 2\pi i \left[\int_{ev^*M/LB} \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla^{T^v ev^*\pi}) \mathbf{ch}(\nabla^{Ev^*V}) \right]_{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The curvature of $\det(L_\epsilon \mathcal{E})$ is given by

$$R^{\det(L_\epsilon \mathcal{E})} := I_\epsilon^* \left[\int_{\tilde{ev}^*M/LB \times \mathbb{R}_+} \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla^{T^v \tilde{L}\pi}) \mathbf{ch}(\nabla^{\tilde{E}v^*V}) \right]_{(2)}.$$

Since $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla^{T^v L\pi}) = \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla^{T^v ev^*\pi})$ we conclude that $R^{\det(L_0 \mathcal{E})} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} R^{\det(L_\epsilon \mathcal{E})} = 2\pi i T R^{\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E})}$. $\square$

4.3 Holonomy. Bounding case

Consider again a loop of loops $\Gamma \in L^2 B$, i.e. a map $\Gamma : S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow B$. We say that Γ bounds, iff $[\Gamma : S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow B]$ is trivial in the bordism group $\Omega_2^{spin}(B)$, i.e. there is a 3-dimensional oriented spin manifold W such that $\partial W \cong S^1 \times S^1$ as oriented spin manifolds, and such that Γ extends to $\tilde{\Gamma} : W \rightarrow B$.

We now show the following partial case of Proposition 1.7.

Proposition 4.2 *If Γ bounds, then $T^2(\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma) = T(\det(L_0\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. We employ the extension $\tilde{\Gamma} : W \rightarrow B$ in order to compute both sides in terms of curvatures.

Let $1 \in L^2(S^1 \times S^1)$ be given by the identity. Then we have $T^2(\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma) = T^2(\text{gerbe}(\Gamma^*\mathcal{E}))(1)$. Now $\text{gerbe}(\Gamma^*\mathcal{E})$ is the restriction of $\text{gerbe}(\tilde{\Gamma}^*\mathcal{E})$ to the boundary of W . Since $H^3(W, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ we have

$$T^2(\text{gerbe}(\Gamma^*\mathcal{E}))(1) = \exp \left(2\pi i \int_W R^{\tilde{\Gamma}^*\mathcal{E}} \right).$$

By (4) we have

$$T^2(\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma) = \exp \left(2\pi i \int_W \tilde{\Gamma}^* \left[\int_{M/B} \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla^{T^v\pi}) \mathbf{ch}(\nabla^V) \right]_{(3)} \right).$$

We now compute

$$T(\det(L_0\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} T(\det(L_\epsilon\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \tau(D(L_\delta L_\epsilon\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma),$$

and hence by Lemma 3.1, $T(\det(L_0\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau(D(L_\epsilon L_\epsilon\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma)$. In other words, $T(\det(L_0\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma)$ is equal to the adiabatic limit $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau(D_\epsilon)$, where D_ϵ is the total Dirac operator on Γ^*M (see Subsection 1.2). We choose $\tilde{\Gamma}$ such that it is independent of the normal variable in a tubular neighbourhood of ∂W . Furthermore, we choose a Riemannian metric g^W as a product in that neighbourhood. Then the total Dirac operator $\tilde{D}_\epsilon$ on $\tilde{\Gamma}^*M$ has a product structure at $\partial\tilde{\Gamma}^*M$.

The index theorem of Atiyah-Patodi-Singer [1] gives

$$\tau(D_\epsilon) = \exp \left(2\pi i \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}^* M} \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla_\epsilon^{T\tilde{\Gamma}^* M}) \mathbf{ch}(\nabla^{\tilde{\Gamma}^* V}) \right) ,$$

where $\nabla_\epsilon^{T\tilde{\Gamma}^* M}$ is the Levi Civita connection to the metric $g_\epsilon^{T\tilde{\Gamma}^* M}$. Now $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla_\epsilon^{T\tilde{\Gamma}^* M}) = \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla^{T^v \tilde{\Gamma}^* \pi})$. We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} T(\det(L_0 \mathcal{E}))(\Gamma) &= \exp \left(2\pi i \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}^* M} \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla^{T^v \tilde{\Gamma}^* \pi}) \mathbf{ch}(\nabla^{\tilde{\Gamma}^* V}) \right) \\ &= \exp \left(2\pi i \int_W \tilde{\Gamma}^* \left[\int_{M/B} \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\nabla^{T^v \pi}) \mathbf{ch}(\nabla^V) \right]_{(3)} \right) . \end{aligned}$$

□

4.4 Holonomy. Trivial K^1 -case.

In this subsection we consider another special case of Proposition 1.7. Again, let $\Gamma \in L^2 B$.

Proposition 4.3 *If $\Gamma^* \text{index}(\mathcal{E}) = 0$, then $T^2(\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma) = T(\det(L_0 \mathcal{E}))(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. In the present subsection we will prove this proposition up to a certain assertion about adiabatic limits of η -invariants Proposition 4.5.

Again, we write $T^2(\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma) = T^2(\text{gerbe}(\Gamma^* \mathcal{E}))(1)$. Since $\text{index}(\Gamma^* \mathcal{E}) = 0$ we can find a Melrose-Piazza operator $Q \in \text{End}(\Gamma(\Gamma^* \mathcal{E}))$ (see Subsection 4.1). By Lemma 4.1 we have

$$T^2(\text{gerbe}(\Gamma^* \mathcal{E}))(1) = T^2(\text{gerbe}(\Gamma^* \mathcal{E}, Q))(1) . \quad (5)$$

Since $D(\Gamma^* \mathcal{E}, Q)$ is invertible we can represent $\text{gerbe}(\Gamma^* \mathcal{E}, Q)$ with respect to the covering $\mathcal{U} = (S^1 \times S^1)$, i.e. by the global two form $F := [\hat{\eta}]_{(2)}$, the two form component of the eta form of $D(\Gamma^* \mathcal{E}, Q)$. In particular, we have

$$T^2(\text{gerbe}(\Gamma^* \mathcal{E}, Q))(1) = \exp \left(2\pi i \int_{S^1 \times S^1} F \right) . \quad (6)$$

Let D_ϵ be the total Dirac operator on $\Gamma^*\mathcal{E}$ with respect to the metric $g_\epsilon^{T\Gamma^*M}$. Then as in the proof of Proposition 4.2 we have

$$T(\det(L_0\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau(D_\epsilon) . \quad (7)$$

We choose some function $\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $\rho(s) = 0$ for $s \leq 1$ and $\rho(s) = \text{const}$ for $t \geq 2$. Let $D_\epsilon(s) = sD_\epsilon + s\rho(s)Q$. We define

$$\eta(\epsilon, \rho) := \frac{2}{\pi^{1/2}} \int_0^\infty \text{Tr} \frac{d}{ds} D_\epsilon(s) e^{-D_\epsilon(s)^2} ds .$$

Since $\rho(s) \equiv 0$ for small s this integral converges at $s = 0$. Moreover, since $\rho(s)$ is constant for large s the integrand vanishes exponentially if $s \rightarrow \infty$. We further define

$$\tau(\epsilon, \rho) := \exp \left(2\pi i \frac{\eta(\epsilon, \rho) + \dim \ker D_\epsilon(\infty)}{2} \right) .$$

It is now easy to see that $\tau(\epsilon, \rho)$ depends smoothly on the parameters. In fact a change of the kernel of $D_\epsilon(\infty)$ gives rise to an integer jump of $\eta(\epsilon, \rho)$, and the combination $\eta(\epsilon, \rho) + \dim \ker D_\epsilon(\infty)$ jumps by an even integer.

Lemma 4.4 $\tau(\epsilon, \rho)$ is independent of ρ .

Proof. The usual derivation for the variation of the η -invariant gives

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \rho} \log \tau(\epsilon, \rho) = 2\pi i \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} \text{Tr} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \rho} D_\epsilon(t) \right) e^{-D_\epsilon(t)^2} = 0$$

since $\frac{\delta}{\delta \rho} D_\epsilon(t) \equiv 0$ for small t . □

From now on we assume that $\rho(s) \equiv 1$ for large s . We form the family of super connections

$$A_s(\rho) := s\sigma D(\mathcal{E}) + s\rho(s)\sigma Q + \nabla^{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} + \frac{\sigma}{4s}c(T)$$

and define the eta form

$$\hat{\eta}(\rho) := R \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} \int_0^\infty \text{Tr}_\sigma \left(\frac{dA_s(\rho)}{ds} e^{-A_s^2(\rho)} \right) ds . \quad (8)$$

For small s the super connection $A_s(\rho) = A_s(\mathcal{E})$ is the Bismut super connection and therefore the integral converges at $s = 0$. For large s the operator $D(\mathcal{E}) + \rho(s)Q = D(\mathcal{E}) + Q$ is invertible, and therefore the integrand vanishes exponentially for $s \rightarrow \infty$.

The following proposition is an analog of the result of Bismut-Cheeger [3].

Proposition 4.5 *We have*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau(\epsilon, \rho) = \exp \left(2\pi i \int_{S^1 \times S^1} \hat{\eta}(\rho) \right) .$$

We will prove this proposition in Subsection 4.6.

If we replace the definition (8) of $\hat{\eta}(\rho)$ by

$$\hat{\eta}(\rho) := R \operatorname{LIM}_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} \int_t^\infty \operatorname{Tr}_\sigma \left(\frac{dA_s(\rho)}{ds} e^{-A_s^2(\rho)} \right) ds ,$$

then it extends to all functions $\rho \in C^\infty[0, \infty)$ satisfying $\rho(s) \equiv 1$ for large s and $\rho(s) \equiv \text{const}$ for small s (this is completely parallel to the definition of $\hat{\eta}_\alpha$ in [11], (2.19)).

Lemma 4.6 *The difference $\hat{\eta}(\rho) - \hat{\eta}$ is exact.*

Proof. Let ρ_u , $u \in [0, 1]$ be a smooth family of functions as above interpolating between $\rho = \rho_0$ and the constant function $\rho_1 \equiv 1$. We now repeat the argument of the proof of [11], Proposition 3. Fortunately, Lott has written the argument in a way which does not use the precise s -dependence of $A_s(\rho)$. In order to get [11], equation (2.11), one only needs that $\rho(s)$ is constant for small s . $\square$

Combining Lemma 4.6 with Proposition 4.5, and then further with Lemma 4.4 and Equation (7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left(2\pi i \int_{S^1 \times S^1} F \right) &= \exp \left(2\pi i \int_{S^1 \times S^1} \hat{\eta}(\rho) \right) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau(\epsilon, \rho) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau(D_\epsilon) \\ &= T(\det(L_0 \mathcal{E}))(\Gamma) . \end{aligned}$$

In view of Equation (6) this shows Proposition 4.3. $\square$

4.5 Canceling the spectral flow

In this Subsection we combine Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 in order to deduce Proposition 1.7.

Let $\mathcal{E}_1$ be a geometric family over S^1 with odd dimensional fibres such that $\text{index}(\mathcal{E}_1)$ is the generator of $K^1(S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. In fact, for $\mathcal{E}_1$ one can take the family given by $\text{pr} : S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ with standard metrics and horizontal subspaces such that $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle with connection over $S^1 \times S^1$ with first Chern class satisfying $c_1(\mathcal{L})[S^1 \times S^1] = 1$.

If we choose generators γ_1, γ_2 of $\pi_1(S^1 \times S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$, then we obtain an identification $K^1(S^1 \times S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. If $\mathcal{E}$ is a geometric family over $S^1 \times S^1$ with odd-dimensional fibres, then $\text{index}(\mathcal{E}) = (sf(\mathcal{E})(\gamma_1), sf(\mathcal{E})(\gamma_2))$, where $sf(\mathcal{E})(\gamma)$ denotes the spectral flow along γ .

Let now $\mathcal{E}$ be any geometric family with odd-dimensional fibres over some base B . The spectral flow provides a homomorphism $\pi_1(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. This homomorphism corresponds to an element $sf(\mathcal{E}) \in H^1(B, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $S : B \rightarrow S^1$ be the classifying map of $-sf(\mathcal{E})$. Then the spectral flow of $S^*\mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{E}$ vanishes. In particular, if $\Gamma \in L^2 B$, then $\text{index}(\Gamma^*(S^*\mathcal{E}_1 \cup_B \mathcal{E})) = 0$.

Therefore we can apply Proposition 4.3 in order to conclude

$$T^2(\text{gerbe}(S^*\mathcal{E}_1 \cup_B \mathcal{E}))(\Gamma) = T(\det(L_0(S^*\mathcal{E}_1 \cup_B \mathcal{E})))(\Gamma) .$$

Using multiplicativity of gerbes and determinant bundles under relative disjoint sum we obtain

$$\frac{T^2(\text{gerbe}(\mathcal{E}))(\Gamma)}{T(\det(L_0(\mathcal{E})))(\Gamma)} = \frac{T^2(\text{gerbe}(S^*\mathcal{E}_1))(\Gamma)}{T(\det(L_0(S^*\mathcal{E}_1)))(\Gamma)} . \quad (9)$$

Now the map $S \circ \Gamma : S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ bounds. In fact, let $u \in \pi_1(S^1 \times S^1)$ be a primitive generator of $\ker(S \circ \Gamma)_* : \pi_1(S^1 \times S^1) \rightarrow \pi_1(S^1)$. Then can find a diffeomorphism

$F : S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \times S^1$ such that $F(S^1 \times \{*\})$ represents u . The map $S \circ \Gamma \circ F$ now extends to $D^2 \times S^1$. It follows that we can apply Proposition 4.2 in order to conclude that the right hand side of (9) is equal to one. This proves Proposition 1.7 in general (up to the verification of Proposition 4.5). $\square$

4.6 Adiabatic limit of η -invariants

In this subsection we prove Proposition 4.5. In fact we can repeat the proof of Bismut-Cheeger [3], Thm. 4.35. We will just explain the changes in various places. The main point is that we must replace $u^{1/2}D_\epsilon$ by $u^{1/2}(D_\epsilon(\mathcal{E}) + \rho(u^{1/2})Q) =: D_\epsilon(u^{1/2})$. The right hand side of formula [3], (4.39), gets correspondingly replaced by

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\dim \ker D_\epsilon(\infty) + \frac{2}{\pi^{1/2}} \int_0^\infty \text{Tr} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} D_\epsilon(u^{1/2}) \right) e^{-D_\epsilon^2(u^{1/2})} du \right].$$

The proof of [3], Proposition 4.41, can be applied to $D_\epsilon(u^{1/2})$ for $u \geq 4$ and yields a lower bound $\text{spec}(D_\epsilon^2(u^{1/2})) \geq \lambda_0 > 0$ which is uniform for $u \geq 4$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$, ϵ_0 sufficiently small.

In step ii of the proof of [3], Thm. 4.35 we must replace, of course, $uD_\epsilon^2 - zu^{1/2}D_\epsilon$ by $D_\epsilon(u^{1/2})^2 - zu^{1/2}D_\epsilon(u^{1/2})$. From [3], (4.45), we get (note that D^Z in [3] is $D(\mathcal{E})$ here)

$$\begin{aligned} D_\epsilon(u^{1/2}) - u^{1/2}D_\epsilon &= u^{1/2}\rho(u^{1/2})Q \\ D_\epsilon(u^{1/2})^2 - uD_\epsilon^2 &= \epsilon^{1/2}u^{1/2}\rho(u^{1/2})[E_\epsilon, Q] + u\rho(u^{1/2})\{D(\mathcal{E}), Q\} + u\rho(u^{1/2})^2Q^2 \\ 2u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}D_\epsilon(u^{1/2}) - u^{1/2}D_\epsilon &= u\rho'(u^{1/2})Q \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in the right hand side of [3], (4.51), we have the following additional terms

$$\begin{aligned} &\epsilon^{1/2}u^{1/2}\rho(u^{1/2})[E_\epsilon, Q] + u\rho(u^{1/2})\{D(\mathcal{E}), Q\} + u\rho(u^{1/2})^2Q^2 \\ &- zu\rho'(u^{1/2})Q. \end{aligned}$$

We now proceed as in [3] and apply Getzler's rescaling along the base. This is possible since the perturbation Q is local with respect to the base. Formula [3], (4.70), gets

replaced by

$$\mathcal{H} + A_{u^{1/2}}^2(\rho) - z2u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} A_{u^{1/2}}(\rho)$$

(after setting $\sigma = 1$). The Hermite operator $\mathcal{H}$ simplifies to $-\sum_{\alpha}(\partial_{\alpha})^2$ since the torus $S^1 \times S^1$ is flat. Equation [3] (4.74) simplifies to

$$\frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} \text{Tr} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} D_{\epsilon}(u) \right) e^{-D_{\epsilon}^2(u)} \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} \int_{S^1 \times S^1} \text{Tr}_{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} A_{u^{1/2}}(\rho) e^{-A_{u^{1/2}}^2(\rho)} . \quad (10)$$

Since $\rho(s)$ vanishes for small s , the discussion in [3] of uniformity of the convergence (10) on intervals $(0, T]$, $T > 0$ applies to the present case. Again using the fact, that Q is local with respect to the base we repeat the derivation of the remainder term $O(\epsilon^{1/2}(1 + T^N))$ in (10). Thus we have verified the analog of [3] (4.40). The final step iii. of the proof of [3], Thm. 4.35, can be taken without change. $\square$

References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, and I. M. Singer. Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry I. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 77 (1975), 43–69.
- [2] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne. *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1992.
- [3] J. M. Bismut and J. Cheeger. η -invariants and their adiabatic limits. *J. AMS*, 2 (1989), 33–70.
- [4] J. M. Bismut and D. Freed. The analysis of elliptic families. I. Metrics and connections on determinant bundles. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 106 (1986), 159–176.
- [5] J. M. Bismut and D. Freed. The analysis of elliptic families. II. Dirac operators, eta invariants, and the holonomy theorem. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 107 (1986), 103–163.
- [6] J. L. Brylinski. *Loop spaces, characteristic classes, and geometric quantization*. Birkäuser, Progress in Math. 107, 1993.

- [7] J. Cheeger and J. Simons. Differential characters and geometric invariants. In *LN1167*, pages 50–80. Springer Verlag, 1985.
- [8] X. Dai and D. S. Freed. η -invariants and determinant lines. *J. Math. Phys.*, 35 (1994), 5155–5194.
- [9] X. Dai and W. Zhang. Higher spectral flow. Preprint, 1996.
- [10] N. Hitchin. Lectures on special lagrangian submanifolds. Preprint: arXiv.math.DG/9907034, 1999.
- [11] J. Lott. The index gerbe. Preprint arXiv:math.DG/0106177, 2001.
- [12] R. B. Melrose and P. Piazza. Families of Dirac operators, boundaries, and the b -calculus. *J. Differential. Geom.*, 46 (1997), 9–180.
- [13] F. Wu. unpublished.